Case 3:15-cv-02044-CRB Document 34 Filed 10/22/15 Page 1 of 7

1	BROOKE OLIVER, ESQ. (SBN 172828) brook@50balmy.com		
2	50 Balmy Law P.C.		
3	50 Balmy Alley San Francisco, CA 94110		
4	Phone: 415-641-1116 Fax: 415-695-1116		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff DANIEL FONTES		
6	AARON H. JACOBY, ESQ. (SBN 137244)		
7	aaron.jacoby@arentfox.com VICTOR P. DANHI, ESQ. (SBN 210246)		
8	victor.danhi@arentfox.com ARENT FOX LLP		
9	55 Second Street, 21 st Floor San Francisco, CA 94105		
10	Phone: 415-757-5500 Fax: 415-757-5501		
11			
12	Attorneys for Defendants AUTOCOM NETWORKS, INC., AUTOCOM POWER, LLC, JOSE PEREZ, and NISSAN		
13	NORTH AMERICA, INC		
14	WILLIAM J. MURRAY, ESQ. (SBN 87936)		
15	Belzer & Murray LLP 3650 Mt. Diablo Blvd., Suite 130		
16	Lafayette, CA 94549 <u>Murray@wjmattorneys.com</u>		
17	Phone: (925) 284-9000 Fax: (925) 283-5192		
18	Attorneys for Defendants MICHAEL P. MURPHY and M&M AUTOMOTIVE		
19			
20	DOUGLAS A. MARSHALL, ESQ. (SBN 91277) Kay & Merkle, LLP		
21	100 The Embarcadero, Penthouse San Francisco, CA 94105		
22	dmarshall@kmlaw100.com Phone: 415-357-1200		
23	Fax: 415-512-9277		
24	Attorneys for Defendant TDK TRUST		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	CTIDLE ATION AND IDDODOCED ODDED TO CONTINUE DATEC		

Case 3:15-cv-02044-CRB Document 34 Filed 10/22/15 Page 2 of 7 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 4 DANIEL FONTES, an individual Case No. CV 15-02044 CRB 5 Assigned for all Purposes to the Honorable Plaintiff, Charles R. Breyer, Courtroom 6 6 NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT AND 7 STIPULATION AND TO CONTINUE DATES VS. AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT 8 **CONFERENCE**; AUTOCOM NETWORKS, INC., a 9 California corporation; AUTOCOM POWER, LLC, a California limited 10 liability company d/b/a AUTOCOM NISSAN OF OAKLAND; M&M 11 AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, INC., a California corporation d/b/a Volkswagen 12 of Oakland; MICHAEL P. MURPHY, an individual formerly d/b/a NISSAN OF 13 OAKLAND; NISŠAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., a California 14 corporation; JOSE PEREZ, an individual a/k/a JOSE CARMEN PEREZ GARCIA; 15 TDK TRUST DATED JANUARY 23, 1995, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, 16 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 - 2 -28 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DATES AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

ARENT FOX LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW Los Angeles

[CASE NO. CV10-02044 CRB]

1	
1	

2

3

56

7 8

9

11

1213

14

15 16

17

1819

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

STIPULATION

WHEREAS, the Parties participated in mediation on October 8, 2015 and thereafter, on the same day, entered into a Stipulation of Settlement, wherein all Parties agreed upon a full settlement and compromise of this action and a release and discharge of all claims by and between all Parties;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement, the Parties shall be entering into a long form settlement agreement that the Parties expect will be satisfied in its entirety within 90 days from the date of this Stipulation, including, but not limited to delivery of an executed Dismissal with Prejudice of this action by Plaintiff to Defendants ("Dismissal");

WHEREAS, in light of the foregoing, the Parties have agreed to an extension of time pursuant to which all Defendants shall have an additional 90 days from the presently pending due date, up to and including January 21, 2016, to answer, move, or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint, in order to complete the settlement terms;

WHEREAS, an initial Case Management Conference ("CMC") is currently scheduled for hearing on December 4, 2015 at 8:30 a.m.;

WHEREAS, in the interest of judicial economy, the Parties request a continuance of the CMC by a corresponding 90 days to March 3, 2016 in order to allow time for the Parties to complete the settlement terms and dismiss the Action;

WHEREAS, the Parties further acknowledge that the filing of this Stipulation shall not be construed as an appearance or acknowledgement of jurisdiction on any of the Defendants, and that any challenges to jurisdiction are hereby reserved by Defendants, and each of them;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, pursuant to U.S. District Court, Northern District of California Local Rule 6-1(a), that Defendants, and each of them, shall have up to and including January 21, 2016 to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint filed in this matter.

- 3 -

1	THEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that the Court continue all currently set		
2	dates in this matter, including the dates by which Defendants are required to respond to the		
3	Complaint, and the December 4, 2015 Case Management Conference. In particular, the Parties		
4	respectfully request that the date for Defendants to file responsive pleadings be continued to		
5	January 21, 2016; and that the initial Case Management Conference be scheduled on March 3,		
6	2016, or at the Court's convenience sometime during or after March 3, 2016.		
7			
8	Dated: October 19, 2015	Respectfully submitted,	
9		50 BALMY LAW, P.C.	
10		Day /a/ Day also Olivean	
11		By: /s/ Brooke Oliver Brooke Oliver	
12		Attorneys for Plaintiffs DANIEL FONTES	
13	Dated: October 19, 2015	Respectfully submitted,	
14		ARENT FOX LLP	
15		Dry /a/Waton D. Donhi	
16		By: /s/ Victor P. Danhi Aaron H. Jacoby Victor P. Danhi	
17		Attorney for Defendants AUTOCOM NETWORKS, INC.,	
18		AUTOCOM NET WORKS, INC., AUTOCOM POWER, LLC, JOSE PEREZ, and NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC.	
19		and Missan North Awierica, inc.	
20	Dated: October 19, 2015	Respectfully submitted,	
21		BELZER & MURRAY LLP	
22		By: /s/ William J. Murray	
23		William J. Murray Attorney for Defendants	
24		MICHAEL P. MURPHY and M&M AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, INC.	
25		TO TOMOTIVE GROOT, INC.	
26			
27	- 4	1 -	
28 LP	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DATES AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE		

ARENT FOX LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

Case 3:15-cv-02044-CRB Document 34 Filed 10/22/15 Page 5 of 7 Respectfully submitted, Dated: October 19, 2015 KAY & MERKLE, LLP By: /s/ Douglas A. Marshall Douglas A. Marshall Attorney for Defendant TDK TŘUST - 5 -STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DATES AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

ARENT FOX LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW Los Angeles

ORDER

The Court having considered the foregoing Stipulation of the Parties and good cause appearing therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that all previously scheduled dates in this matter are vacated, and the following dates shall apply:

In the event a dismissal of the entire action is not filed by January 21, 2016, all defendants which have not been dismissed from the action shall file a responsive pleading by that date.

The Initial Case Management Conference shall be held on March 4, 2016 at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 6, 17th floor, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California.

Lead trial counsel shall meet and confer not less than thirty (30) days in advance of the conference and shall file a joint case management statement in the form contained in the Civil Local rules as supplemented by this order not less than seven (7) days in advance of the conference.

If the conference is inconveniently scheduled, it may be rescheduled by stipulation and order to another date or time convenient to the Court's calendar.

At the conclusion of the conference, an order will be entered setting dates either for a further case management conference, or for close of discovery, pre-trial conference, and trial. Other orders regulating and controlling future proceedings may be entered.

Plaintiff shall serve copies of this order at once on any parties subsequently joined, in accordance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 4 and 5. Following service, plaintiff shall file a certificate of service with the Clerk of this Court

SUPPLEMENTAL TO INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

At the initial case management conference, the parties or at least one attorney of record for each party must appear in person. See FRCP 26(f); Civil LR 16-10(a). The case management statement may not exceed ten pages. It should briefly describe the parties' controversy. Any party seeking damages must set forth in the statement the amount sought and the basis for its calculation.

- 6 -

Case 3:15-cv-02044-CRB Document 34 Filed 10/22/15 Page 7 of 7

Sanctions. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS ORDER may be deemed sufficient grounds for dismissal of this cause, default or other appropriate sanctions. See Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16(f), 41 (b); Civ LR 1-4. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: October 22, 2015 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE - 7 -STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DATES

ARENT FOX LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES